

Report #2:

The authors have satisfactorily addressed all of my comments/suggestions, and the paper is ready to be accepted. I would like to recommend, however, that the authors carefully check their references as I noticed that Gregory et al. (2024) is missing from the reference list.

Thank you for your careful review and helpful suggestion. We have thoroughly checked the references and have now properly cited Gregory et al. (2024) and other references in the revised manuscript.

Report #3:

The authors have done a very good job of addressing all issues.

I selected to 'accept subject to technical corrections' as I would like to see unlabeled tick marks for every month increment in Figure 2 (and perhaps even background grid lines) to make it easier to pick out approximate dates of events in the Figure. But this is a trivial change.

We appreciate your attention to detail. We have added labeled tick marks for each monthly increment in Figure 2 and included background grid lines to enhance readability and facilitate the identification of event dates.