

# 1 Mesocosm experiments in ocean alkalinity enhancement 2 research

3

4 Ulf Riebesell<sup>1</sup>, Daniela Basso<sup>2</sup>, Sonja Geilert<sup>3</sup>, Andrew W. Dale<sup>1</sup>, Matthias Kreuzburg<sup>4</sup>

5

6 <sup>1</sup>GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Kiel, Germany

7 <sup>2</sup>Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Milano-Bicocca, Milan, Italy,

8 <sup>3</sup>Geosciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

9 <sup>4</sup>Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

10 *Correspondence to:* Ulf Riebesell ([uriebesell@geomar.de](mailto:uriebesell@geomar.de))

11 **Abstract.** An essential prerequisite for the implementation of ocean alkalinity enhancement (OAE) applications  
12 is their environmental safety. Only if it can be ensured that ecosystem health and ecosystem services are not at  
13 risk will the implementation of OAE move forward. Public opinion on OAEs will depend first and foremost on  
14 reliable evidence that no harm will be done to marine ecosystems and licensing authorities will demand  
15 measurable criteria against which environmental sustainability can be determined. In this context mesocosm  
16 experiments represent a highly valuable tool in determining the safe operating space of OAE applications. **By**  
17 **combining biological complexity with controllability and replication they provide an ideal OAE test bed and a**  
18 **critical stepping stone towards field applications.** Mesocosm approaches can also be helpful in testing the efficacy,  
19 efficiency and permanence of OAE applications. This chapter outlines strengths and weaknesses of mesocosm  
20 approaches, illustrates mesocosm facilities and suitable experimental designs presently employed in OAE  
21 research, describes critical steps in mesocosm operation, and discusses possible approaches for alkalinity  
22 manipulation and monitoring. Building on a general treatise on each of these aspects, the chapter describes pelagic  
23 and benthic mesocosm approaches separately, given their inherent differences. The chapter concludes with  
24 recommendations for best practices in OAE-related mesocosm research.

25

## 26 Preface

27 The authors would like to emphasize that this chapter does not intend to cover all aspects of mesocosm  
28 experimentation in its full breadth, but rather tries to address aspects specific to research on ocean alkalinity  
29 enhancement (OAE) or aspects we consider important to reiterate here. For a more comprehensive presentation  
30 of recommendations and guidelines on mesocosm experiments the reader is referred to Chapter 6 of the *Guide for*  
31 *Best Practices on Ocean Acidification Research and Data Reporting* (Riebesell et al. 2010) and references therein  
32 as well as Stewart et al. (2013).

33

34 Although the general approach to mesocosm experiments is straightforward and basically involves enclosing a  
35 body of water with or without sediment in order to monitor responses of the enclosed communities and related

**Kommentiert [UR3]:** R2 L 16: 'realism' – This seems unnecessarily contentious; mesocosms are indeed far nearer 'reality' than microcosms and cultures due to their inclusion of a more representative portion of the ecosystem, but it is only a portion. This is clearly reflected in latter parts of the review so a more appropriate term or just leaving it at 'biological complexity' may fit better in the abstract (e.g., 'By combining representative biological complexity with controllability and replication..').

**Gelöscht:** realism and

37 processes to the manipulated perturbation over an extended period of time, the specifics of conducting such  
38 experiments can vary considerably. These include factors such as the materials, design and location of the  
39 enclosures, e.g. fixed structures on land or flexible wall enclosures in situ, as well as the procedures for mesocosm  
40 filling, operation, mixing and sampling. While the dimensions of the experimental enclosures can range from less  
41 than 1 m<sup>3</sup> to >1000 m<sup>3</sup> depending on the requirements of the experiment, we here adopt the classification set out  
42 by the SCOR Working Group 85 in 1991: Microcosms (less than 1 m<sup>3</sup>), mesocosms (between 1 and 1000 m<sup>3</sup>) and  
43 macrocosms (more than 1000 m<sup>3</sup>). We note that benthic experimental enclosures can have different size  
44 categories.

#### 45 1 Placing mesocosms in the context of OAE research

46 Mesocosm experiments provide an essential bridge between the tightly controlled but poorly realistic laboratory  
47 culture experiments and the complexity of natural systems. This is particularly important for possible OAE  
48 implementations, in order to achieve a sound understanding of the entire process of the proposed OAE strategies,  
49 from the dissolution kinetics and effectiveness of the alkalisation technique, to the potential environmental  
50 impacts, risks and co-benefits. This knowledge is crucial prior to any form of OAE application to safeguard the  
51 protection of marine ecosystems functioning, biodiversity and related ecosystem services. Moreover, should OAE  
52 prove to be a viable approach for marine carbon dioxide removal (mCDR), it will also be crucial to achieve social  
53 acceptance for potential OAE implementations. Also in this context mesocosm experiments can serve as a useful  
54 tool for proof of concept, the results of which can play an important role in the public discourse about the risks  
55 and benefits of mCDR implementation.

56 Functional redundancy and species richness in ecosystems allow for some degree of resistance to withstand  
57 disturbances and resilience to recover once a disturbance has ended or dissipated. To determine the actual  
58 ecological impacts of OAE it is essential, therefore, to test suggested applications at the community/ecosystem  
59 level. Doing this in field trials, however, poses serious difficulties, given the hydrographic complexity of most  
60 marine systems, with lateral advection (currents, tides), vertical flow (convection, up- and downwelling) and  
61 wave-driven mixing. Determining dose-response relationships for environmental impacts is extremely  
62 challenging under such conditions. Mesocosm experiments, on the other hand, enable the combination of  
63 biological complexity needed for testing resistance and resilience of communities/ecosystems in their natural  
64 setting and seasonal succession (in a single experiment where succession occurs on short time scales, e.g. a  
65 phytoplankton bloom, or multiple experiments in different seasons using the exact same experimental set-up) with  
66 a reasonable degree of control and replication and hence the statistical power to reach reliable conclusions. At the  
67 same time, they allow testing the chemical kinetics of mineral dissolution and secondary carbonate precipitation,  
68 thereby providing vital information on the efficacy of the suggested OAE applications in a natural setting under a  
69 range of environmental conditions (salinity, temperature, carbonate chemistry, inorganic nutrient concentrations,  
70 dissolved and particulate organic carbon concentrations etc). Testing them in mesocosm enclosures has the  
71 additional benefit of minimizing public concern and regulatory requirements when compared to field trials.

72 Environmental impacts of OAE will be scale- and context-dependent in terms of the physical (e.g. timescales of  
73 mixing and CO<sub>2</sub> equilibration, point source vs. diluted release), chemical (e.g. amount/type of alkaline substance,  
74 impurities), and biological characteristics (e.g. seasonal succession and related ecosystem vulnerability).

Kommentiert [4]: R2 L 42: rather than 'poorly realistic', 'unrealistic'?

Kommentiert [5]: I don't agree with the proposed change. Unrealistic has a very strongly negative connotation

Kommentiert [6]: I agree. Unrealistic is clearly stronger than poorly realistic. I suggest we stick with poorly realistic.

Kommentiert [7]: R1 L 57-58: Therefore, to cover the seasonal succession, it is needed to conduct a mesocosm experiment during several seasons. Could it be possible to maintain such mesocosm experiment during the year(s)? In any case, it could be good to mention something about this aspect as it was suggested above.

Kommentiert [8]: R1 L66: biological characteristics (e.g. ecosystem vulnerability, time of season). System vulnerability depends on the communities present at the moment of the mesocosm experimentation. So, "communities" could be added as a first example of biological characteristics.

75 Biological impacts are determined by exposure time and dose, ranging from acute shock responses on transient  
76 and local scales at point sources to chronic effects associated with possible transitions of ecosystem structure and  
77 performance at the regional and long-term scale. **Key research questions which can be addressed adequately**  
78 **through mesocosm experiments are:**

- 79 - What is the safe operating space for OAE applications with respect to possible impacts on marine  
80 ecosystems functioning, biodiversity, and ecosystem services?
- 81 - How could OAE be implemented to reduce the risk of inadvertent negative environmental effects, and  
82 maximize co-benefits?
- 83 - Which biological indicators can serve as early warning signals or proxies for OAE environmental  
84 impacts?
- 85 - How do different OAE approaches perform in terms of efficiency (e.g. mineral dissolution, CO<sub>2</sub> uptake)  
86 and permanency (e.g. secondary precipitation)?
- 87 - Which application sites are most appropriate for which OAE approach?

## 88 2 Strengths and weaknesses of mesocosm experimentation

89 Mesocosm experiments offer a salient advantage over laboratory-based investigations, as they allow a realistic  
90 replication of natural communities. Multiple trophic levels can be confined under natural environmental  
91 conditions over a long period of time in a self-sustaining manner. Thereby, the same community can be sampled  
92 repeatedly over time. Furthermore, these experiments permit straightforward validation in the context of field  
93 research. Mesocosms, in essence, are closer to representing natural ecosystems characterized by carefully defined  
94 dimensions and monitored conditions and processes. To ensure realistic ecological boundary conditions,  
95 mesocosm experiments should be exposed to meteorological conditions resembling those of the target  
96 environment. Notably, the logistical flexibility of mesocosms affords researchers the opportunity to conduct  
97 investigations beyond the geographical confines of the environment under investigation. Consequently,  
98 mesocosms provide an invaluable avenue for the controlled study of specific environments and the impact of  
99 controlled manipulations therein. Given the diverse range of natural processes encountered in mesocosm  
100 experiments, external influences may be challenging to control, necessitating a robust monitoring strategy to  
101 achieve statistical power by either treatment replication or treatment gradients. Moreover, mesocosm experiments  
102 provide extensive multidisciplinary datasets that allow for a high degree of scientific integration and  
103 interdisciplinary collaboration. These datasets are valuable for parameterisation and assessment of marine  
104 ecosystems and biogeochemical models.

105 While mesocosm experiments can be considered the preferred tool for the assessment of environmental impacts  
106 of OAE applications, they have several weaknesses that need to be considered when interpreting the data and  
107 extrapolating the results to the real world. These weaknesses include unnatural mixing and turbulence (in pelagic  
108 mesocosm), unnatural flow of bottom water across the sediment (in benthic mesocosms), wall effects and the  
109 growth of periphyton and other organisms on the mesocosm walls, spatial heterogeneity in the enclosed sediments  
110 and the related difficulties in obtaining representative samples. The larger and more expensive the enclosures  
111 become, the more difficult it becomes to have a sufficient number of replicates in a replicated design or treatments  
112 in a gradient design. The fact that even the largest mesocosms enclose truncated communities, i.e. exclude higher

**Kommentiert [9]:** R1 L68-69: Key research questions which can be addressed adequately in mesocosm experiments are: ..... The first, second and last mentioned items are not the Key research questions which can be addressed in the mesocosm experiments, but they are requirements which can be established before mesocosm OAE experiment.

**Kommentiert [10]:** I don't understand this comment, sorry

**Kommentiert [11]:** I disagree with the reviewer. These ARE key research questions which can be addressed through mesocosm experiments.

**Gelöscht:** in

114 trophic levels and highly migratory organisms make it difficult to adequately represent the responses of organisms  
115 with longer life cycles and the associated impacts on the food web. Another drawback of mesocosm experiments  
116 is their limited duration, due to the gradual diversion from their natural counterparts, e.g. due to community shifts,  
117 nutrient depletion, and the consequent progressive loss of biological realism. The increasing variability between  
118 mesocosms in this process makes it increasingly difficult to identify treatment effects with statistical significance.

### 119 3 Experimental design

120 The primary purpose of a mesocosm experiment is to obtain “near-natural” conditions, that is to say, keeping the  
121 abiotic and biotic factors as close to the environment as possible in order to maximize the realism of the tested  
122 conditions. In general, time scale is related to mesocosm volume: the shorter the time needed for a controlled  
123 experiment, the smaller the enclosure size. Careful consideration should be given to the experimental design to  
124 adequately address the specific research questions, account for ecosystem- and site-specific characteristics as well  
125 as seasonal variability. The choice of the experimental configuration includes the three key dimensions of time,  
126 space and biological complexity, along with the required level of replication. Preference should be given to mimic  
127 the natural seasonal succession rather than provoking out-of-season events, e.g. triggering phytoplankton blooms  
128 through nutrient addition.

129 Considering the often limited number of experimental units, a critical consideration concerns the level of  
130 replication (Kreyling et al. 2018). The choice is between two basic approaches: (1) replicated ( $n \geq 3$ ) treatments,  
131 with limited treatment levels (e.g. Riebesell et al., 2006); (2) a gradient approach with a larger number of non-  
132 replicated treatment levels (e.g. Taucher et al., 2017). The statistical power of the two options, using ANOVA  
133 statistics for the replicated design and regression statistics for the gradient design, is similar for the small number  
134 of experimental units typically available in mesocosm studies (Havenhand et al., 2010). If large within-treatment  
135 variation is expected, e.g. due to strong environmental variability or spatial heterogeneity, the replicated approach  
136 is recommended. In fact, strong within-treatment variability can easily mask subtle treatment effects. An important  
137 advantage of the gradient approach, on the other hand, is that it enables the identification of non-linearities,  
138 thresholds and tipping points in biological responses to OAE applications, relevant information for model  
139 parameterizations in terms of community functional responses. Knowledge about thresholds and possible tipping  
140 points is crucial also in the context of regulatory considerations for OAE implementation.

#### 141 Pelagic mesocosms

142 When aiming to investigate OAE applications in the free water column, pelagic mesocosms are the research tool  
143 of choice. Among the various proposed strategies, ocean liming in the wake of ships would consist of sparging  
144 high-alkalinity fluids or mineral particles within the surface layer in offshore settings. In this scenario, any  
145 chemical perturbation is expected to affect in the first instance the pelagic domain and the planktic component of  
146 the marine ecosystem. Also OAE applications at fixed locations with a discharge of alkalinity-enriched water into  
147 coastal waters, e.g. desalination plants or sewage treatment plants, are best simulated in pelagic mesocosms. A  
148 suitable simulation of OAE approaches in which the alkalising mineral is released in particulate form should  
149 ideally have the dissolution rate of the particles known in advance. If the rate is fast enough to ensure complete  
150 dissolution in the water column, pelagic mesocosms are well suited. In cases where the dissolution rate is slow  
151

Kommentiert [I2]: R2 L. 123: 'relevant information in the context of regulatory considerations' – another important strength (of the gradient approach) is its utility to model parameterization in terms of functional responses of organism physiology and ecosystem function.

152 compared to the particle sinking rate and particles sink to the seabed before dissolving, the experimental design  
153 may require a benthic component.

154 A missing component in all closed-system mesocosm experiments is the dilution through mixing with non-  
155 perturbed waters. Switching to an open system, where the enclosed water is partially replaced by non-alkalised  
156 water, places much greater demands on monitoring and complicates the interpretation of the observed responses,  
157 to the extent that it may be impossible to establish a reliable dose-response relationship. This experimental artifact  
158 is exacerbated when repeated additions of alkalinity are applied. Incorporating naturally occurring dilution in the  
159 experimental design can be done by applying the OAE treatment to only part of the enclosed water column and  
160 allowing for gradual mixing with the untreated water. **The time until mixing can be controlled by stratifying the**  
161 **water column through a salinity gradient (adding fresh water into the upper layer or brine into the bottom layer,**  
162 **whereby the salinity change should be at a low enough level not to cause a biological response, e.g. a few tens of**  
163 **a salinity unit) or via a temperature stratification. Break-off of the stratification can be gradual or abrupt through**  
164 active mixing. Parallel sampling of the OAE treated and untreated water bodies can provide insights about the  
165 compensating effect of dilution.

166 There is a wide range of enclosure volumes and structures used in pelagic mesocosm experimentation. Among  
167 the various available solutions, the most obvious difference is the placement of the mesocosm: 1) stable,  
168 permanent structures on land, or 2) floating bags in the water. All materials that come into contact with the  
169 enclosed water/sediment must be chemically inert, i.e. they must not leach or actively absorb any substances.  
170 Some technical details of the mesocosm design can markedly affect some abiotic factors, such as thermal  
171 characteristics, light conditions or mixing intensity of the enclosed water column. Most pelagic mesocosm  
172 enclosures are made of transparent material supported by a mini-mal rigid framework, with the intent to keep light  
173 conditions as in nature. Most materials, however, change the spectrum of the transmitted light, for example are  
174 not transparent for UV-light. As enclosure depth is often lower than the mixed layer depth of the natural  
175 environment, natural light conditions are not well represented in mesocosms, with light intensities averaged over  
176 the mesocosm depth often higher than those averaged over the mixed layer depth.

#### 177 Benthic mesocosms

178 Benthic mesocosm experiments offer the unique chance to study OAE-mineral addition to the seafloor in a  
179 controlled set-up. In comparison to experiments in laboratory settings, often small in scale with respect to mineral  
180 weathering, benthic mesocosms are more likely to mimic natural seafloor conditions and allow the coupling of  
181 biogeochemical processes at larger spatial and temporal scales. Key research questions on seabed alkalisation  
182 to be addressed in benthic mesocosm experiments include: 1) What are alkaline mineral dissolution rates under  
183 mesocosm conditions? 2) Do secondary minerals form that may compromise the net CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration efficiency  
184 of this method? 3) How are microbial communities and macrofauna affected by mineral dissolution? 4) Is there a  
185 release and accumulation of heavy metals related to addition of silicate-based minerals and how does their toxicity  
186 affect the community/ecosystem?

187  
188 Continuous water flow system: In this set-up, a continuous flow of ambient seawater, preferably bottom water,  
189 over the sediment (Fig. 2), likely best resembles natural seafloor conditions. It is recommended to remove larger

**Kommentiert [13]:** R1 L141-143: addition of freshwater into the upper layer or brine into the bottom layer of a mesocosm, could be considered as a new treatment engendering marine organism responses to less or more saline water. This could provide additional complication for interpretation of the results regarding the effect of OAE.

**Gelöscht:** ,

**Gelöscht:** ,

**Gelöscht:** To the best of our knowledge, benthic mesocosm experiments in OAE research have been conducted to date at GEOMAR (Germany) and at the University of Antwerp (Belgium) and preliminary data has been presented at EGU and ASLO and in 2023 international conferences (Hylén et al. 2023). Therefore, the following sections are based on personal experiences and the challenges encountered.

**Gelöscht:** screen the incoming bottom water to

200 debris that could obstruct the water supply using a sediment trap (Fig. 2), whilst allowing small particulate matter  
201 to enter the mesocosms. The supply of particulate matter is essential to sustain natural microbial metabolism in  
202 the sediments and to provide food for filter-feeding macrofauna that colonize the sediment surface within a short  
203 period of weeks to months (Fig. 2). A relatively high flow rate is required (between 5000 to 10000 L d<sup>-1</sup>) to keep  
204 the seawater well oxygenated and guarantee the survival of fauna and for maintaining the natural microbial  
205 communities as closely as possible to in situ conditions. With this set-up, the bottom water should be monitored  
206 to trace seasonal changes in physical and chemical properties of the incoming seawater.

207 Water circulation approach: The benthic mesocosm set-up with a seawater circulation approach consists of two  
208 tanks stacked on top of each other, with the upper tank housing the benthic ecosystem with sediments and  
209 organisms and the lower tank is functioning as a seawater reservoir from which water is pumped into the upper  
210 tank (Fig. 3). Thus, a constant flow of water is generated through the water in- and outflow and the height of the  
211 water column in the upper tank can be controlled by the vertical positioning of the outflow. The tanks for the  
212 benthic mesocosms have a volume of approximately 1 m<sup>2</sup> and are situated outdoors and exposed to natural  
213 temperature fluctuations.

214 Based on the water circulation approach, the closed system allows for the detection and accumulation of  
215 weathering products and to focus on a specific process or reaction, such as the dissolution kinetics of silicate  
216 minerals in the case of the University of Antwerp study (Fig. 3). After a defined timespan (flux session) the total  
217 amount of water is replaced and accumulation of weathering products starts again from initial values. In terms of  
218 this experiment design, ≥3 replicates of benthic mesocosms are crucial to ensure that results are statistically  
219 significant and can be generalized to the broader ecosystem being studied (e.g. Wadden Sea).

220 The total experiment duration as well as the sampling strategy is defined by the research questions and longer  
221 experiments may be necessary to capture seasonal or long-term trends in the system. The use of natural sediment  
222 and the inclusion of a dominant bioturbating organism (e.g. *Arenicola marina*) in benthic mesocosm experiments  
223 is a crucial step toward making the experimental setup more representative of real-world conditions. However,  
224 it's important to emphasize that the choice of sediment type and benthic organisms should be aligned with the  
225 specific research objectives and questions being addressed.

227 In OAE studies involving benthic mesocosms, various types of sediments can be considered, ranging from fine-  
228 grained sediments to rocky substrates. The selection of sediment type should be guided by factors such as the  
229 local environmental conditions, the availability of sediment types that reflect the targeted ecosystem, and the  
230 specific geochemical interactions being investigated. For studies related to carbonate dissolution and alkalinity  
231 enhancement as given above, fine-grained or sandy sediments are most suitable, given their potential to facilitate  
232 mineral dissolution and subsequent alkalinity release.

234 Similarly, the choice of benthic organisms should be tailored to the research objectives. While many benthic  
235 organisms can be tested in mesocosms, it's important to consider the life history, behavior, and ecological role of  
236 the selected species (Bach et al. 2019; Flipkens et al. 2023). For instance, if the experiment spans a year and aims  
237 to study the recruitment and life cycle of benthic organisms that have a pelagic phase, careful planning is required.

**Kommentiert [14]:** R1 L175 : Please give examples for the monitoring frequency: high frequency? every some hours? daily, weekly? etc.

**Kommentiert [15]:** R1 L179: ... a constant flow of water. In the area with the tide water movement, the flow of water could/should be adjusted regarding the tide water movement? It is necessary or not?

**Kommentiert [16]:** Respond: Tidal cycles might influence the general alkalinity cycles due to current induced advective fluxes. However, this experiment is designed to investigate the interactions of OAE source material compared to non-OAE source material present and fluxes are induced by bioirrigation.

**Gelöscht:** account inner dimensions

**Kommentiert [17]:** R1: L177-182: Water circulation approach. It could be useful to refer in the text, the number of the figures presented in the manuscript (Fig. 3). It was mentioned in L185, but it could be also indicated before.

**Kommentiert [18]:** Respond: We considered your suggestion and the referring Figure (Fig. 3) is mentioned now in the first sentence of the paragraph.

**Kommentiert [19]:** R1 L186: Please provide examples about replicates (at least 2?, 3?, > 3?).

**Kommentiert [21]:** Respond: Thank you for this comment. The paragraph has been rewritten and extended to illustrate the variety of possible applications for mesocosms related OAE research.

Furthermore, an extended experimental design could connect pelagic mesocosms and benthic chambers to serve as an approach to investigate benthic-pelagic coupling in the future but until now OAE research is still in the phase of processed-based studies.

**Gelöscht:** The use of natural sediment and the inclusion of a dominant bioturbating organism (e.g. *Arenicola marina*) can help make the mesocosm more representative of natural conditions. However, it is important to note that the specific organism and sediment type may vary depending on the research question being addressed.

245 Monitoring larval settlement, growth, and interactions with the sediment during their benthic phase becomes  
246 integral to such investigations.

247  
248 As an illustrative example, consider an OAE study targeting the enhancement of carbonate precipitation through  
249 the addition of alkalinity. In a coastal setting, sandy sediments rich in carbonate minerals might be chosen, given  
250 their potential for mineral dissolution and subsequent bicarbonate formation. Benthic organisms like filter-feeding  
251 mollusks and burrowing polychaetes could be tested to assess their responses to altered alkalinity levels.  
252

253 Finally, the water circulation approach should be carefully designed to ensure consistency in water flow rates and  
254 initial seawater chemistry. Sedimentation in the water reservoir tank has to be prevented to avoid secondary  
255 sediment surfaces and a continuous monitoring system (salinity, temperature) is recommended to estimate  
256 evaporation rates. In addition, regular sampling of environmental conditions (humidity, pCO<sub>2</sub>) as well as carbonate  
257 system parameters and nutrients, can ensure that the experiment proceeds as planned and that the results are  
258 reliable.

#### 259 4 Mesocosm operation: filling, sampling, wall cleaning

260 Filling of the mesocosms is a delicate process that, if not done with care, can jeopardize the entire experiment. A  
261 key aspect is to ensure identical starting conditions, both for the abiotic and biotic conditions in all mesocosms.  
262 Between mesocosm differences in baseline conditions can cause divergence of the enclosed communities and  
263 severely hamper the detection of treatment effects. As the filling often represents a major perturbation itself, some  
264 time of equilibration may be needed before applying the treatment manipulation and starting the actual  
265 experiment. The time for equilibration may differ for pelagic and benthic habitats as well between different  
266 ecosystems and seasons. Adequate monitoring during this pre-manipulation phase can determine when a new  
267 steady state is reached and confirm whether all mesocosms have similar starting conditions. Key parameters for  
268 which equal starting conditions among mesocosms need to be ensured include temperature, salinity, inorganic  
269 nutrient concentrations, the carbonate chemistry (pH, pCO<sub>2</sub>, DIC TA), dissolved and particulate organic matter  
270 concentrations, community composition and diversity, and standing stocks of the dominant taxonomic groups  
271 across trophic levels.  
272

273 Another critical aspect of mesocosm operation is taking representative samples. The enclosed water bodies and  
274 sediments typically show spatial heterogeneity (vertical gradients in the water column and sediments, patchiness  
275 in the distribution of larger organisms). The spatial variability of the target variables of the enclosed system should  
276 be determined prior to deciding on the best sampling strategy. Sampling bias related to vertical gradients, e.g.  
277 water column nutrient concentration and phytoplankton biomass, can be overcome by taking depth-integrated  
278 water samples (Fig. 4). Some species may even perform diurnal vertical migration, which also should be accounted  
279 for in the sampling strategy.

280 Mesocosm enclosures are always associated with additional surfaces, the mesocosm walls, that are not present in  
281 the natural environment. The smaller the mesocosms, the larger the additional surface area relative to the enclosed  
282 volume. Free surfaces are generally subject to rapid biofilm formation, followed by colonization of larger

**Kommentiert [22]:** L200-206: The time for equilibration may differ for pelagic and benthic habitats. Adequate monitoring during this pre-manipulation phase can determine when a new steady state is reached and confirm whether all mesocosms have similar starting conditions.

**Kommentiert [23]:** Reviewer: "This means that the T0 samples of all mesocosms should be taken and analyzed and if the results are similar for all mesocosms, thereafter the real manipulation can be started and monitored. Please provide the type of samples (physical, chemical and/or biological), with some examples, which can be taken and analyzed during this pre-manipulation phase. This information will also help better understanding of the L255-257."

**Kommentiert [24]:** R2 Ln 204-205: 'the time for equilibrium may differ for pelagic and benthic habitats' – is variability in the equilibrium time for different ecosystems and seasons another consideration that needs to be made?

**Kommentiert [25]:** R1 L212-213: Some species may even perform diurnal vertical migration, which also should be accounted for in the sampling strategy. Which sampling strategy should be considered related to diurnal vertical migration of organisms? Sampling during the night? At which depths? Because "taking depth-integrated water samples » cannot help to study diurnal vertical migration.

**Kommentiert [26]:** What the reviewer is asking for ("Which sampling strategy should be considered related to diurnal vertical migration of organisms? Sampling during the night? At which depths?") depends on the behavior of the migrating organisms. The sampling strategy needs to be adapted accordingly. I think it is beyond the scope of this paper to specify sampling strategies for the various vertical migrators.

283 organisms. The associated microbial community can significantly influence water column processes, which is of  
284 particular concern in pelagic mesocosms. To minimize such wall effects, cleaning of the mesocosm walls can be  
285 useful. Specific to OAE mesocosm experimentation is that under conditions where the water column is highly  
286 oversaturated with respect to calcium carbonate, mesocosm walls can provide free surfaces for secondary  
287 precipitation of carbonates. Under these circumstances, wall cleaning can scrape off these carbonates, creating  
288 additional precipitation nuclei in the water column. If wall cleaning is continued under these circumstances,  
289 possible effects caused by this, e.g. enhancement of secondary precipitation in the water column and increased  
290 ballasting of particulate matter, should be seen as artifacts and interpreted as such. If wall cleaning is discontinued  
291 and the biofilm on the walls grows to a significant biomass compared to the suspended biomass, this may limit  
292 the duration of the experiment. The decision for or against wall cleaning must be made on a case-by-case basis  
293 and depends, among other things, on the severity of wall growth, the duration of the experiment and the specific  
294 research questions to be investigated.

#### 295 Pelagic mesocosms

296 Different techniques have been employed for filling pelagic mesocosms, including (1) direct pumping from the  
297 sea in cases where mesocosms are placed *in situ* or close to natural waters, (2) collection in tanks when source  
298 waters need to be transported over some distance and subsequent pumping from the tanks into the mesocosm, (3)  
299 lowering a flexible bag like a curtain over an undisturbed water column. In all cases care should be taken to fill  
300 the mesocosms with identical source waters. Considering that water masses may change over the filling procedure,  
301 this can best be achieved by filling the mesocosms in parallel through a distributor system (Fig. 4). Likewise, if  
302 several tanks are needed to obtain the required source water volume, the water of each tank should be distributed  
303 evenly into all mesocosm units. The source water should be representative for the targeted ecosystem. This  
304 concerns the depth at which the source water is collected and, when diurnally vertically migrating organisms are  
305 present, the time of day. When pumping is applied some damage to fragile organisms, e.g. gelatinous zooplankton,  
306 is unavoidable. It is therefore recommended to use pumps that ensure a smooth flow of pumped water, e.g.  
307 peristaltic pumps (Fig. 4). To prevent large and rare organisms from entering and being unevenly distributed in  
308 the mesocosms, some screening can be applied at the intake of the pumping hose.

309 As mentioned above a typical artifact of mesocosm enclosures is the reduced level or absence of turbulence. In  
310 mesocosms with solid wall structures it may be useful to apply some form of mixing of the water column,  
311 considering that turbulence (including its absence) is known to strongly affect the plankton community  
312 composition and succession. In floating enclosures with flexible walls some turbulence is induced by surface wave  
313 action, below surface water movement and variability in water currents, but the vorticity of the enclosed water is  
314 still always much reduced compared to that of the natural environment. Somewhat related to the mixing regime  
315 is another potential artifact in mesocosms where settling particulate matter is continuously resuspended from the  
316 bottom. Resuspension of degrading organic matter, which under natural conditions would sink out of the upper  
317 mixed layer, exaggerates the heterotrophic processes in the system. Collecting and removing the sedimented  
318 matter in cone-shaped sediment traps which form the bottom of the mesocosms can avoid this problem (Fig. 4).

#### 319 Benthic mesocosms

**Kommentiert [27]:** R1 L214-221: What are the conclusions of this paragraph? In the OAE mesocosm experiment, cleaning of the mesocosm walls can/should be done or not? If yes, this additional precipitation nuclei in the water column could not provide the artifacts in the experiment and result interpretation?

320 A particular challenge in benthic mesocosm experiments concerns the filling with sediment from the seafloor.  
321 Depending on the size of the tanks and the sediment height, it may be necessary to transfer several hundreds of  
322 kilograms of sediment from the seafloor to the tanks. Near intact sediments (undisturbed vertical stratification)  
323 may be collected relatively easily in sub-tidal areas. At sea, undisturbed sediments may be retrieved using a box  
324 corer or similar device, although this may be a tedious exercise involving multiple deployments of the coring  
325 equipment. Large amounts of sediment can be gathered relatively easily and quickly using a sediment grab, but  
326 disturbance of the sediment matrix is inevitable, and longer equilibration times for the sediment geochemistry to  
327 stabilize will be required before experiments can be started. In any case, benthic communities within mesocosms  
328 may be altered from those in natural ecosystems and a sound understanding of the equilibration period is crucial  
329 to allow for changes in benthic communities and the establishment of a new steady state within the benthic  
330 mesocosm. This equilibration period should be determined based on the specific conditions of the mesocosm  
331 experiment, including the number of replicates, environmental parameters, and the selected organisms. Adequate  
332 monitoring and sampling during the equilibration period are essential to ensure that the experimental conditions  
333 have stabilized and the ecosystem has reached a new steady state which in turn increases material and labour  
334 requirements. Robust control units are crucial in benthic mesocosm experiments and should ideally consist of the  
335 same number of replicates as the treatment group to ensure that any observed changes are due to the experimental  
336 treatments rather than natural variability. Sampling and monitoring should be in the same manner as the treatment  
337 group.

### 338 5 Alkalinity manipulation and monitoring

339 Different minerals, waste materials and electrochemical products have been suggested as feedstock for ocean  
340 alkalinity enhancement (for a comprehensive introduction to potential source materials see Eisaman et al. 2023).  
341 Most source materials do not come as pure alkalinity, but contain other substances, such as silicate, calcium,  
342 magnesium and various trace metals (e.g. iron, nickel, cobalt, chromium). OAE can be achieved by addition in  
343 dissolved form, which requires dissolution of the feedstock before its release into the sea, or in particulate form,  
344 after grinding of the feedstock, with the grain size being one important factor determining the dissolution rate.  
345 OAE can further be conducted in a CO<sub>2</sub>-equilibrated mode, which involves some form of active injection of CO<sub>2</sub>  
346 into the alkalinity-enriched source water prior to its release, or in a non-equilibrated mode, which relies on air-sea  
347 gas exchange to provide the additional CO<sub>2</sub> that the alkalized seawater can absorb. In case of the latter it is  
348 important to keep in mind that the time scales for CO<sub>2</sub> equilibration are on the order of months and can only occur  
349 as long as the alkalized seawater is in contact with the atmosphere. (see Schulz et al., 2023 for further details)

350  
351 Taken together, this results in a wide range of possible application scenarios, not all of which can be tested with  
352 the same scrutiny in mesocosm experiments due to the high financial and personnel costs involved. Hence, it is  
353 important to focus on those OAE application scenarios which are most likely to be implemented. As the field of  
354 OAE R&D is developing rapidly and dynamically, there will likely be changes in what is considered the most  
355 suitable OAE application approaches, in terms of cost, efficiency, environmental safety, friendliness in terms of  
356 monitoring, verification and reporting (MRV), technological readiness, as well as the regulatory requirements for  
357 their implementation. Mesocosm research in this field should maintain sufficient flexibility to respond to those  
358 changes and aim for testing 'real-world' scenarios of OAE applications. On the other hand, because the results

Kommentiert [28]: R1 L262: Please name here these different minerals, waste materials and electrochemical products and provide the references.

Kommentiert [29]: The reader is referred to the overview on feedstock materials by Eisaman et al.

Gelöscht: OAE

Gelöscht: MRV

Kommentiert [30]: L277: Please use entire words for MRV at the first use in the text (Monitoring, Reporting and Verification?).

361 obtained from mesocosm studies will likely be context-specific (depending on e.g. ecosystem type, time of year,  
362 latitudinal location, hydrographic setting and depend on the mesocosm set-up and operation itself, it takes multiple  
363 such studies for a given OAE approach to reach robust conclusions about its environmental safety. To facilitate  
364 inter-comparison between results it would be favorable to use standardized mesocosms and follow common  
365 protocols for mesocosm experimentation.

Gelöscht: , ...)

367 From an experimental perspective, there is a trade-off between testing pure alkalinity enhancement and feedstocks  
368 which involve the release of other biologically active components. While the latter is more in line with real-world  
369 applications, it complicates the interpretation of the observed responses due to confounding factors and limits the  
370 extrapolation of the findings, considering that the stoichiometric composition differs between feedstocks. As the  
371 field is currently still at an early stage and considering that the number of mesocosm studies will likely be small  
372 due to their high costs, it seems beneficial to first establish a basic understanding of alkalinity effects in isolation,  
373 before turning to more feedstock-specific testing. This being said, we note that the above-mentioned confounding  
374 effects may actually be the intended research question or that the focus may be on a specific feedstock likely to  
375 be utilized widely. In general, we recommend designing mesocosm experiments with a more generic approach  
376 first and address feedstock-specific in smaller scale laboratory-based experiments.

Kommentiert [31]: R1 L285-293: This paragraph is very important and recommendations are very reasonable and logic.

378 Pelagic mesocosms

379 Alkalinity manipulations in pelagic mesocosms are fairly straightforward when done in dissolved form.  
380 Dissolving the alkaline feedstock in freshwater or deionized water prevents secondary carbonate precipitation  
381 during preparation of the concentrated solution (we note that the use of freshwater for feedstock dissolution may  
382 not be practical for large-scale implementation of OAE). To avoid confounding effects of the freshwater addition  
383 on the mesocosm community, the volume should be kept to a minimum. Using source materials with a high  
384 solubility in water, such as  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ ,  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ ,  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$  or  $\text{NaOH}$  enables highly concentrated alkaline source  
385 water (Hartmann et al., 2023). To simulate  $\text{CO}_2$ -equilibrated alkalisation  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  and  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  can be combined  
386 in appropriate proportions (Subhas et al., 2022), for non-equilibrated alkalisation carbonate-free source  
387 materials such as  $\text{NaOH}$  and  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$  can be used (Moras et al., 2021). To avoid prolonged pH peaks and  
388 secondary precipitation during the injection procedure it needs to be assured that the concentrated solution is  
389 mixed in rapidly. One way to achieve a uniform alkalinity enhancement across the water column is to move a  
390 distribution device with multiple outlets up and down the mesocosms at a constant speed (Fig. 5). Flocculent  
391 precipitates that form directly at the injection site are usually not stable and disappear quickly when further diluted  
392 through mixing. Care should be taken to ensure that the added alkalinity is evenly distributed throughout the  
393 enclosed water column.

Kommentiert [32]: R1 L296-307: Some references are welcome for this section.

395 Alkalinity enhancement in particulate form is far less practical. If the particles sink faster than they dissolve, they  
396 accumulate on the mesocosm floor or sink directly into the trap in mesocosms with a sediment trap at the bottom.  
397 Accumulation and subsequent dissolution at the bottom might lead to highly concentrated alkalinity enrichment,  
398 enhancing the risk of secondary precipitation and of strong negative impacts in bottom waters. Alkaline particles  
399 sinking into the sediment trap would be lost from the mesocosm enclosure during the next trap sampling. In both  
400 cases it would be considered an experimental artifact. It is therefore recommended to use minerals with high

402 dissolution rates (e.g. NaOH, CaO, Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>) and small grain sizes to ensure dissolution before the mineral  
403 particles reach the bottom of the mesocosms (see Eisaman et al. 2023 for a detailed description of technical aspects  
404 of OAE).

406 Monitoring of seawater carbonate chemistry in the water column should adhere to the guidelines provided in  
407 Schulz et al., 2023. High levels of non-equilibrated alkalinisation can lead to secondary precipitation, triggering  
408 a process termed “runaway precipitation” (Moras et al., 2022; Hartmann et al., 2023), whereby carbonate  
409 formation can consume more alkalinity than initially added. It seems that the initiation of this process can occur  
410 both in the water column and on the mesocosm walls. As the carbonate crystals grow in size, their sinking velocity  
411 increases. When incorporated in organic matter aggregates they serve as ballast, thereby increasing the vertical  
412 flux of organic matter. In addition, carbonate crystals could affect mobility and feeding of plankton organisms,  
413 with possible adverse effects on food web interactions and trophic transfer. Secondary precipitation also increases  
414 seawater turbidity, affecting light attenuation and possibly primary production. Collecting this sinking particulate  
415 matter in sediment traps at the bottom of the mesocosms enables the quantification and identification of the  
416 precipitates and provides information about the chemical reactions leading to their formation. In mesocosms  
417 without integrated sediment traps, simple traps can easily be set up on the bottom and sampled through a tube that  
418 reaches the surface.

420 Benthic mesocosms

421 Alkalinity enhancement in the benthic mesocosm approach is achieved by mineral addition, which dissolves in  
422 the surface sediment over time. In general, the addition of sedimentary OAE source materials (e.g. siliciclastic  
423 minerals, carbonates; Eisaman et al., 2023) modifies the grain size distribution of the sediment and thus affects  
424 the porosity, permeability, and water flow through the sediment. The changing sediment structure can impact  
425 living conditions for organisms, as well as the distribution and abundance of organisms living in the sediment and  
426 their behavior and ecology. With respect to mineral addition, the grain size selection is important, as a trade-off  
427 between grain size and production costs is required (e.g. Hartmann et al., 2013). Previous studies have investigated  
428 the relationship between CO<sub>2</sub>-sequestration efficiency and grain sizes and there is a general assumption that small  
429 grain sizes reveal higher dissolution rates and CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration rates due to larger reactive surface areas, whereas  
430 more grinding energy is required generating a higher CO<sub>2</sub> footprint and lower CO<sub>2</sub>-sequestration efficiencies  
431 (Köhler et al., 2010; Renforth and Henderson, 2017; Foteinis et al., 2023). Clearly, the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions during  
432 production and transport must be significantly lower than the potential CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration of benthic mineral  
433 dissolution (see Eisaman et al., 2023). The selection of appropriate grain sizes for the addition of alkaline minerals  
434 is a critical consideration for experimental studies, particularly in the context of the target environment's  
435 geological setting. From an environmental perspective, it is recommended to choose comparable grain sizes that  
436 are stable under in-situ hydrodynamic conditions. For highly dynamic ecosystems such as the Wadden Sea,  
437 estuaries and wave-dominated coastal areas, a range of grain sizes from fine to coarse sand (0.075 to 2 mm) may  
438 be appropriate for experimental approaches. However, in low-dynamic systems such as lagoons, enclosed bays,  
439 or shelf regions, grain sizes from silt to very fine sand (<0.075 mm) can be considered for investigation. This  
440 approach would also help to ensure that the sedimentary structure and settings for organisms in the mesocosms  
441 are representative of the natural conditions of the target environment.

**Kommentiert [33]:** R1 L314-315: It is therefore recommended to use minerals with high dissolution rates (e.g. CaO, Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>) and small grain sizes to ensure dissolution before the mineral particles reach the bottom of the mesocosms. As the mesocosms are not very deep, and regarding to estimation of settling rate of these grains, what size these grains should have to dissolve before reaching the bottom of the mesocosm? It could be useful to provide in the text a notion about the grain sizes (less than ??) which are recommended to be use regarding the mesocosm deep.

**Kommentiert [34]:** R1 L318: What could be the interaction of “secondary precipitation” with organisms in the water column of the mesocosm or in the mesocosm wall? It could be useful to provide some insights about this potential interaction(s), or if the effect of secondary precipitation on organisms is not clear, it can be mentioned in the text.

**Kommentiert [35]:** Add here something like this: Secondary precipitation may interact mechanically with plankton mobility and reduce the water transparency, hence hampering primary producers

**Kommentiert [37]:** L328: Please identify the mineral which was added.

**Kommentiert [38]:** Here we could also refer to Eisaman et al's chapter

**Gelösch:** see also

443 It may be practical to interrupt the water circulation system during mineral deployment in order to allow  
444 sedimentation of the suspended matter. To achieve a uniform alkalinity enhancement in the benthic mesocosms,  
445 minerals should be evenly distributed. To induce a measurable effect on alkalinity changes in the envisioned  
446 experimental time, grain sizes smaller than 1 mm are desirable (Strefler et al., 2018). The addition to the marine  
447 environment could best be achieved through a mixture of natural seawater, marine sediments, and OAE source  
448 materials. This may ensure a more uniform distribution and reduce the purity of industrially produced OAE source  
449 materials, which are poor in nutrients and microbial organisms. Thus, this approach is also recommended for the  
450 addition of silicates to benthic mesocosms. By using a mixture, the potential effects of silicate addition can be  
451 more accurately evaluated because the experimental conditions are more similar to those in the natural  
452 environment.

453 For calcium carbonate, it may be reasonable to use the annual flux of POC to the seafloor as an upper estimate of  
454 the required mineral to be added. The underlying assumption here is that the added mineral can completely  
455 neutralize the natural CO<sub>2</sub> produced from organic matter degradation. However, this assumes that mineral  
456 dissolution efficiency is close to 100 %, which may not be the case if it is mixed below the undersaturated layers.  
457 Adding minerals in large excess risks clogging the surface layer and creating a physical barrier against effective  
458 benthic-pelagic coupling of solute fluxes. Finding the optimal mineral dosage to achieve a balance between  
459 dissolution efficiency and dissolution rate would likely be specific to the local environmental characteristics and  
460 require testing at each potential mineral addition site. For silicate minerals (e.g. olivine), the upper limit of mineral  
461 addition per square meter will also depend on the trace metal concentrations (Flipkens et al., 2021). Based on the  
462 variation in Ni content of marine sediments (prior to the addition of olivine), this implies that the allowable range  
463 for the addition of olivine is between 0.059 and 1.4 kg per square meter of seafloor without posing a risk to benthic  
464 biota. This threshold is based on Environmental Quality Standards (EQS), which are derived from metal toxicity  
465 data using methods such as species sensitivity distributions (SSDs). They provide threshold metal concentrations  
466 in seawater or sediment that are considered protective for the aquatic environment and are used by industries,  
467 governments, and environmental agencies to guide regulations. So far, these guidelines are only appropriate to  
468 specific regions and environments and may need to be re-evaluated for a broader use in OAE applications.

469 Monitoring of mineral dissolution will be determined by the experimental design. A major drawback of a high  
470 through-flow is that rapid dilution and flushing of geochemical tracers emitted from the sediment compromises  
471 the analytical detection of dissolving alkaline minerals in the overlying water and the reliable assessment of the  
472 effectiveness of the method (see also section 4.4.3). In this case, alternative ways of mineral dissolution detection  
473 may be required. For instance, alkalinity enhancement may be detectable in pore fluids, which can be extracted  
474 using filters (e.g., rhizones) inserted horizontally through holes pre-drilled vertically in the tank (Fig. 6). However,  
475 the vertical sampling resolution may be too coarse to detect mineral dissolution close to the sediment surface.  
476 Microelectrodes for O<sub>2</sub>, pH and H<sub>2</sub>S are arguably a better alternative to detect changes in surface geochemistry in  
477 the uppermost centimeters after mineral addition. An advantage of the high dilution factors is the potential  
478 suppression of secondary mineral formation such as phyllosilicates and/or carbonates, that could reduce the net  
479 CO<sub>2</sub>-sequestration efficiency of OAE (Fuhr et al., 2022, Moras et al., 2022, Hartmann et al., 2023). Secondary  
480 mineral formation is a common process in marine seafloor sediments, potentially impacting global carbon and

Gelöscht: rain rate

Kommentiert [39]: A. Poulton "It is not clear whether the authors mean rain ratio (PIC:POC) or sedimentation rate in this line. Based on the rest of the paragraph it is likely the former but this line is unclear. Please clarify and rephrase."

Kommentiert [40]: Now clarified.

482 element cycles on a global scale, the controlling factors are not unambiguously identified to date (e.g. Rahman et  
483 al., 2017; Torres et al., 2020; Geilert et al., 2023).

484 The deployment of benthic incubation chambers within the mesocosms themselves is a non-invasive method for  
485 detecting alkalinity release following mineral addition (Fig. 6). These benthic chambers enclose a certain area of  
486 the surface sediment and allow the accumulation of alkalinity and other components of interest over time, from  
487 which benthic fluxes can be determined. Mineral dissolution rates can be estimated by comparison with control  
488 mesocosms where no minerals were artificially added. Fluid sampling can be achieved by hand via suction using  
489 connected tubing and syringes. Care is needed to prevent hypoxia or anoxia inside the chambers due to respiration  
490 by benthic biota, which may be observable by a blackening of the sediment surface due to precipitation of iron  
491 sulfide minerals. Low oxygen levels will result in an interruption to the normal respiration rates of animals causing  
492 them to resurface. This may alter natural sediment mixing rates as well as mineral saturation states via changes in  
493 biogeochemical turnover rates and pathways in the sediment. Together, these undesired artifacts may be reflected  
494 in unrealistic fluxes of alkalinity and other solutes from the sediment. Completely interrupting the water flow to  
495 the whole benthic mesocosm in order to detect changes in bottom water alkalinity will only serve to magnify these  
496 side effects.

## 497 Recommendations

### 498 General

- 499 - Use inert materials for mesocosm hardware (e.g. plastics, stainless steel)
- 500 - Select the mesocosm size and experimental duration according to the enclosed community and processes  
501 studied
- 502 - Choose the experimental design to maximize the statistical power and report it
- 503 - Maximize similarity in starting conditions between mesocosms during enclosure filling
- 504 - Monitor starting conditions before applying experimental treatment
- 505 - Allow for the natural (e.g. seasonal) succession and avoid out-of-season events
- 506 - Avoid confounding factors and perturbations other than the intended treatments
- 507 - Adapt the sampling frequency to the dynamics of the processes studied
- 508 - Determine spatial heterogeneity and take account of it in the sampling strategy
- 509 - Apply depth-integrated sampling in case of vertical gradients (pelagic mesocosms)
- 510 - Minimize wall growth, e.g. by regularly cleaning the walls

### 512 OAE-specific

- 513 - Test real-world OAE scenarios, focusing on those most likely to be implemented
- 514 - Keep some flexibility to respond to changes in the OAE R&D field
- 515 - Monitor carbonate chemistry with at least two carbonate system parameters and watch out for  
516 secondary precipitation
- 517 - Maximize transferability of results by testing generic OAE approaches
- 518 - Take note of the context-specificity of the observed ecosystem responses
- 519 - Provide detailed information of the feedstock composition utilized for experimental manipulations

Kommentiert [41]: L386-387: Care is needed to prevent hypoxia inside the chambers. How does know the hypoxia occurred inside the chambers. By measuring continuously at high frequency oxygen concentrations inside the chambers? Otherwise?

Kommentiert [42]: Ln 396: Can the authors give some examples of the inert materials that should be considered?

Kommentiert [43]: R2 Lns 407-414: These recommendations are really important to the fledgling field of OAE research; should they be incorporated into the abstract to ensure they are taken up by the research community? The fourth (transferability) and sixth (feedstock) are essential for the community to ensure consistent and value-for-money OAE research that advances the field rather than causing confusion.

521 **Competing interests**

522 The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

523

524 **Acknowledgements**

525 This is a contribution to the “Guide for Best Practices on Ocean Alkalinity Enhancement Research”. We thank  
526 our funders the ClimateWorks Foundation and the Prince Albert II of Monaco Foundation. Thanks are also due  
527 to the Villefranche Oceanographic Laboratory for supporting the lead authors' meeting in January 2023. UR  
528 acknowledges funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program under grant  
529 869357 (project OceanNETs: Ocean-based Negative Emission Technologies analysing the feasibility, risks, and  
530 co-benefits of ocean-based negative emission technologies for stabilizing the climate). DB acknowledges funding  
531 from the Prince Albert II of Monaco Foundation for the OACIS project “Ocean alkalinity enhancement: a  
532 mesocosm-scale approach”. SG and UR acknowledge funding from the German Federal Ministry of Education  
533 and Research (Grant No 03F0895) Project RETAKE, DAM Mission “Marine carbon sinks in decarbonization  
534 pathways” (CDRmare).

535 **References**

- 536 Eisaman, M., Geilert, S., Renforth, P., Bastianini, L., Campbell, J., Dale, A., Foteinis, S., Grasse, P.,  
537 Hawrot, O., Löscher, C., Rau, G. and Rønning, J.: Chapter 3: Assessing the technical aspects of OAE  
538 approaches, State of the Planet Discussions, 1-52, <https://doi.org/10.5194/sp-2023-1>, 2023.
- 539 Flipkens, G., Blust, R., and Town, R. M.: Deriving Nickel (Ni(II)) and Chromium (Cr(III)) based  
540 environmentally safe olivine guidelines for coastal enhanced silicate weathering. Environ. Sci.  
541 Technol., 55, 12362–12371, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c02974>, 2021.
- 542 Foteinis, S., Campbell, J. S., Renforth, P.: Life cycle assessment of coastal enhanced weathering for carbon  
543 dioxide removal from air. Environ. Sci. Technol., 57 (15), 6169-6178.  
544 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c08633>, 2023.
- 545 Fuhr M., Geilert S., Schmidt M., Liebetrau V., Vogt C., Ledwig B. and Wallmann K.: Kinetics of olivine  
546 weathering in seawater: An experimental study. Front. Clim., 4, 1–20,  
547 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2022.831587>, 2022.
- 548 Geilert S., Frick D. A., Garbe-Schönberg D., Scholz F., Sommer S., Grasse P., Vogt C. and Dale A. W.:  
549 Coastal El Niño triggers rapid marine silicate alteration on the seafloor. Nat. Commun., 14, 1676,  
550 <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-023-37186-5>, 2023.
- 551 Hartmann J., West A. J., Renforth P., Köhler P., De La Rocha C. L., Wolf-Gladrow D. A., Dürr H. H. and  
552 Scheffran J.: Enhanced chemical weathering as a geoengineering strategy to reduce atmospheric  
553 carbon dioxide, supply nutrients, and mitigate ocean acidification. Rev. Geophys. 51, 113–149,  
554 <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/rog.20004>, 2013.
- 555 Hartmann, J., Suitner, N., Lim C., Schneider J., Marín-Samper L., Aristegui J., Renforth P., Taucher J., and  
556 Riebesell U.: Stability of alkalinity in Ocean Alkalinity Enhancement (OAE) approaches –  
557 consequences for durability of CO<sub>2</sub> storage. Biogeosciences, 20, 781–802, [https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-20-781-2023)  
558 [20-781-2023](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-20-781-2023), 2023.
- 559 Havenhand, J., Dupont, S. and Quinn, G.: Designing ocean acidification experiments to maximise  
560 inference. In: Riebesell, U. et al. Guide for best practices for ocean acidification research and data  
561 processing. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 258 pp.,  
562 <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2777/66906>, 2010.
- 563 Köhler, P., Hartmann, J. and Wolf-Gladrow, D. A.: Geoengineering potential of artificially enhanced  
564 silicate weathering of olivine. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107(47), 20228–20233,  
565 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000545107>, 2010.

566 Kreyling, J., Schweiger, A. H., Bahn, M., Ineson, P., Migliavacca, M., Morel-Journel, T., Christiansen, J.  
567 R., Schtickzelle, N. and Larsen, K. S.: To replicate, or not to replicate – that is the question: How to  
568 tackle nonlinear responses in ecological experiments. *Ecol. Lett.*, 21, 1629–1638,  
569 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13134>, 2018.

570 Moras, C. A., Bach L. T., Cyronak T., Joannes-Boyau R. and Schulz K. G. Ocean alkalinity enhancement -  
571 avoiding runaway CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation during quick and hydrated lime dissolution. *Biogeosciences*  
572 19, 3537–3557, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-19-3537-2022>, 2022.

573 Pansch, A., Winde, V., Asmus, R. and Asmus, H.: Tidal benthic mesocosms simulating future climate  
574 change scenarios in the field of marine ecology. *Limnol. Oceanogr.: Methods*, 14, 257–267,  
575 <https://doi.org/10.1002/lom3.10086>, 2016.

576 Rahman, S., Aller, R. C. and Cochran J. K.: The missing silica sink: Revisiting the marine sedimentary Si  
577 cycle using cosmogenic <sup>32</sup>Si. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles*, 31, 1559–1578,  
578 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GB005746>, 2017.

579 Renforth, P., and Henderson, G.: Assessing ocean alkalinity for carbon sequestration. *Rev. Geophys.*, 55,  
580 636–674, <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/2016RG000533>, 2017.

581 Riebesell, U., Fabry, V.J., Hansson, L., Gattuso, J.-P. (2010) Guide for best practices for ocean  
582 acidification research and data processing. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union,  
583 258 pp., <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2777/66906>, 2010.

584 Riebesell, U., Schulz, K.G., Bellerby, R.G.J., Botros, M., Fritsche, P., Meyerhöfer, M., Neill, C., Nondal,  
585 G., Oschlies, A., Wohlers, J. and Zöllner, E.: Enhanced biological carbon consumption in a high CO<sub>2</sub>  
586 ocean. *Nature* 450, 545–549, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06267>, 2007.

587 SCOR Working Group 85: Manual on marine experimental ecosystems, 2nd report. 178 pp. Paris:  
588 UNESCO technical papers in marine science, 1991.

589 Schulz, K. G., Bach, L. T. and Dickson A. G.: Carbonate system considerations for ocean alkalinity  
590 enhancement. *State of the Planet Discussions*, 2023.

591 Stewart, R.I.A., Dossena, M., Bohan, D.A., Jeppesen, E., Kordas, R.L., Ledger, M.E., Meerhoff, M., Moss,  
592 B., Mulder, C., Shurin, J.B., Suttle, B., Thompson, R., Trimmer, M. and Woodward G.: Mesocosm  
593 experiments as a tool for ecological climate-change research. In: Woodward, G. and O’Gorman, E.J.  
594 editors: *Adv. Ecol. Res.*, 48, Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Academic Press, 71–181,  
595 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-417199-2.00002-1>, 2013.

596 Strefler, J., Amann, T., Bauer, N., Kriegler, E. and Hartmann, J.: Potential and costs of carbon dioxide  
597 removal by enhanced weathering of rocks. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 13, 3, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaa9c4>, 2018.

598 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-417199-2.00002-1>, 2018.

599 **Subhas, A.V., Marx, L., Reynolds, S., Flohr, A., Mawji, E.W., Brown, P.J. and Cael, B.B.: Microbial**  
600 **ecosystem responses to alkalinity enhancement in the North Atlantic Subtropical Gyre. *Front. Clim.***  
601 **4, 784997. <https://doi/10.3389/fclim.2022.784997>, 2022.**

602 **✓ Taucher, J., Bach, L.T., Boxhammer, T., Nauendorf, A., The Gran Canaria KOSMOS Consortium,**  
603 **Achterberg, E.P., Algueró-Muñiz, M., Aristegui, J., Czerny, J., Esposito, M., Guan, W., Haunost, M.,**  
604 **Horn, H.G., Ludwig, A., Meyer, J., Spisla, C., Sswat, M., Stange, P. and Riebesell U.: Influence of**  
605 **ocean acidification and deep water upwelling on oligotrophic plankton communities in the**  
606 **Subtropical North Atlantic: Insights from an in situ mesocosm study. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 4, 85,**  
607 **<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00085>, 2017.**

608 Torres M. E., Hong W.-L., Solomon E. A., Milliken K., Kim J.-H., Sample J. C., Teichert B. M. A. and  
609 Wallmann K.: Silicate weathering in anoxic marine sediment as a requirement for authigenic  
610 carbonate burial. *Earth-Science Rev.*, 200, 102960, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.102960>,  
611 2020.

612

Gelöscht: ¶

Gelöscht:

613  
614  
615

618  
619  
620  
621

622

623

624

625 Figure 1. Pelagic mesocosm facilities currently used in OAE research. *top left*: Land-based mesocosms (1 m<sup>3</sup>) at  
626 the University of Vigo, Spain. *top right*: In situ on-shore mesocosms (10 m<sup>3</sup>) operated by GEOMAR, here  
627 employed on Gran Canaria, Spain. *bottom left*: Kiel Off-Shore Mesocosms for Ocean Simulations (KOSMOS),  
628 here employed in the Raunefjord, Norway. *bottom right*: Sketch of a KOSMOS mesocosm unit (55 m<sup>3</sup>).  
629 Photo/graphic sources: *ul*: Daniela Basso, University of Milano-Bicocca, *ur*: Ulf Riebesell, GEOMAR, *bl*: Uli  
630 Kunz, *br*: Rita Erven, GEOMAR.

Formatiert: Englisch (USA), Hochgestellt

Kommentiert [44]: R1 L501-504: Please replace m3 by m3 in legend of Figure 1, and also show the scale for the bottom right figure (or mention the depth of the KOSMOS mesocosm unit in the legend).

631 Figure 2. *top*: Benthic mesocosm units currently (2022-2023) installed at the Kiel Fjord, Germany. *bottom*:  
632 Sketch of the experimental set-up for the benthic mesocosms shown in top picture. Photo/graphic source: top:  
633 Sonja Geilert; bottom: Rita Erven, GEOMAR.

634

635

636

637

638 Figure 3: In the benthic mesocosms at the University of Antwerp the dissolution kinetics of silicate minerals and  
639 the impacts on the benthic fauna in coastal environments are monitored since 2019. The system comprises 20  
640 units with two stacked tanks, the upper tank is housing the benthic ecosystem, and the lower tank is functioning  
641 as a water reservoir. Natural sediment of 40 sediment height with a mean grain size of 123 µm (3.0 phi) was  
642 collected from an intertidal sand flat in the Oosterschelde (Netherlands) and mixed with olivine sand of similar  
643 grain size. Water from the Easter Scheldt Estuary (salinity 32-35) is used to conduct flux-sessions of 5 weeks  
644 (weekly sampling). At the end of each session, the total volume of water in each unit (~500 L) is renewed  
645 (Drawing: A. Hylén, Photo: M. Kreuzburg, <https://www.coastal-carbon.eu/>, GeoBiology Research Group,  
646 Experimental Design and coordinating principal investigator: Prof. Filip Meysman, University of Antwerp).

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655 Figure 4: *Upper left*: Distributor control system enabling parallel filling of all mesocosms. *Upper right*: Peristaltic  
656 pump ensuring smooth flow of source water during filling of the mesocosms, keeping damage to fragile organisms  
657 at a minimum. *Lower left*: Sediment traps forming the bottom of in situ mesocosm enclosures. *Lower right*:  
658 Programmable water sampler, enabling dept-integrated water samples over the entire mesocosm depth (or parts  
659 thereof). (Photo sources: *ul, ur*: Ulf Riebesell, *ll*: Michael Sswat, *lr*: Solvin Zankl)

660

661

662

663 Figure 5: *Left*: Distribution device used for alkalinity addition; by moving it up and down in the water column  
664 during alkalinity injection at constant speed a uniform alkalinity enhancement can be achieved. *Right*: Milky water  
665 at the outlet of the injection tubes indicates temporary precipitation which, however, quickly disappears as the  
666 highly concentrated alkalinity solution dilutes. Photo sources: Ulf Riebesell

667

668

669

670 Figure 6. *left*: Pore fluid sampling using rhizons. *right*: benthic incubation chamber to assess alkalinity  
671 enhancement with respect to mineral dissolution in benthic mesocosm experiments. Photo sources: left Sonja  
672 Geilert, right Michael Fuhr, GEOMAR.

673